Newcastle Orienteering Club 2014/2015 Summer Street Series CONSISTENCY Results

21-Feb-2015 12:42 AM

Are you consistently performing to your own capability? Earn up to 20 points per event. See below ...

1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 10 11 11	Competitor Peter Newton Brock Smith Greig Scott Nicole Haigh Andrew Killen Andrew Haigh	Event 17 17 15	15 1	2 20 12	3	4 20 8	5 14 20 19	6 13	7 12 8	8 4	9 18 15	10 8	11 16	12	13 16	14 16	15 18	16 6 14	17 A 13	Entered 16 17	Events 10 8	Points 135 123	Rank 1 2
3 4 5 5 7 8 9 10 11 11	Greig Scott Nicole Haigh Andrew Killen Andrew Haigh	15	1	12		8		13			15	40			10			14	13	17	0		1 2
5 5 7 8 9 10 11 11	Andrew Killen Andrew Haigh	15	1	12					Ŭ,			13	19			14	12			13	7	93	3
7 8 9 10 11 11	=			7	6	18	14	6				15 3		7	9 16	15	Α	15	17 20	17 15	8 8	92 89	4 5
9 10 11 11	Scott Simson		19 12			9 18	15 7	4	17 3	7	8		17	5	12	2	20			17 17	7	89 86	5 7
10 11 11	Sam Howe Shane Jenkins	7 13			3	7 14	9 11		A 19	15	12	4		6			16 7	11	17	16 14	8	81 79	8
11	Ingrid Oblasser	15	17		9	14	1	10	19	15		4	11	14	A	11	7 A			16	7	76	10
	David Messenger Nicola Blatchford	10	2 6	18 19	17	12	2					A 14	20			9	1	20		12 9	6 6	70 70	11 11
13 13	Kate Dynon Viola O'Connor	1	A		19	4 15	11 6	18	10	10	6 9			4		8	15		3	16 16	7 7	69 69	13 13
15	Carolyn Matthews					11	4			14					17		13	3	5	16	7	67	15
16 17	Paul Killen Ian Dempsey	15			6			12 17	15				2		19	19 5	A	4	19	16 13	5 5	60 58	16 17
17 19	Lewis Vincent Clare Williams									19	20 16			16	8	12			3 17	11 10	4	58 53	17 19
19 21	Scott Baker Gayle Quantock				12	5		20	18	16	13	19								17 17	4	53 50	19 21
22	Robert Vincent	40	18				40	1			8			20				10		12	4	47	22
22 24	Caroline Jenkins Paul Griffiths	13		5	17		12	8	16			7			A		3	19	6	12 17	3 6	47 46	22 24
24 26	Karen Blatchford Scott Taylor					19 1	4 18	11			2	6					6 19		4	13 12	5 5	46 44	24 26
26 28	Mitchell Vallely Arthur Kingsland	8		13 15		10 3	16			A		18	3	9						14 15	4 4	44 43	26 28
28	lan O'Brien						10			11		9		5			5		18	12	4	43	28
	Nigel Thompson Kaitlin Radstaak	14		6		16			11	17 5	4	1	14		4	A		5		13 17	4 6	42 41	30 31
31 33	Malcolm Roberts Sharon Burgess				10			14 10			17			17		4			9	14 13	3	41 40	31 33
34	Damon Ward Bridget Whitehead		9	14					7			17	7	15				8		9	3	39 38	34 35
35	Amilia Burrows		J	14										18	2			8 18		6	3	38	35
35 38	Glenn Downey Ben Relf			11				17	1 2	8		10		3	20 3					13 16	3 6	38 37	35 38
39 39	Colin Bailey Greg Bacon									13		16	6	19				16		9 15	3	35 35	39 39
41 42	Bronwyn & Hannah Stafford Melita Rowland			1	12						10	2	11	10 1 11			10	-	9	13 13 13	5	34 33	41 42
43	David Kitchener						17				10	2		9	6		10			11	3	32	43
44	Duncan Rayward Graeme Taplin			2	15				14					13	5			13		6 12	3	31 31	44 44
	Kerry Bacon Matthew Killen	15							6				18 15		16	7	A			11 9	3	31 31	44 44
48	Chris Lodge													12	13		5			7	3	30	48
48 50	Anita Bickle Stuart Kurtz		7						13						10	20	9			6 13	2 3	30 29	48 50
51 51	Jim Lee Pam Montgomery										19		9					17	11	5 12	2	28 28	51 51
53 53	Graham Fowler Martin Quirke	12		3	18						14			10 A				9		10 10	3	27 27	53 53
55	Margaret Peel	4	8		10	Α						5				13		3		11	3	26	55
55 57	Michael Lilley Jason Beck			10				5		18			13	3					1	13 12	3	26 24	55 57
57 57	Aislinn Mullins, Angus Pilley Nathan Archer									6					18	10	14			5 7	2	24 24	57 57
60 61	Steven Roberts Brock Taylor		5	16		2												3	17	11 8	3 2	22 21	60 61
61	Peter Orr	3		10	Α					3						18				10	2	21	61
	Kim van Netten Bert van Netten, Jack Hart	11	13					19			5		A				2	1		12 10	3 2	20 20	63 63
63 63	Daniel Orr Grant Watson	2		A					20	20										11 6	1	20 20	63 63
63 63	John Shaw Kristina & Zara Stafford				20							20								12 7	1	20 20	63 63
	Louise & Oscar Shearston		20									20								1	1	20	63
70	Emily Wheatley & the Mini- Wheats						8				11									17	2	19	70
70 72	Renée Wells Justin Stafford			4						2			4			17			10	11 12	2 3	19 18	70 72
72 72	Thomas Whitehead Kylie Smith								5	1		12					18			12 3	3	18 18	72 72
75	Bob Gilbert	9	10 4			13					Α							7		10 4	2	17 17	75 75
75	Russell Rigby Neil Chappell			17		.0														17	1	17	75
	Andrew Morris Deanne Shaw	16	16	8	8													A		3 12	2 1	16 16	78 78
80 80	Thomas Bunn Damian Welbourne				15	6					4		5							11 4	3 1	15 15	80 80
80 83	Justin Boyd Angus Roberts		14		-			15												6	1	15 15 14	80 83
84	Malin Andersson		14													2	11			4	2	13	84
	McKenzie Downey Florence Mananyu				13						1				11					7 7	1 2	13 12	84 86
86	Florence Mananyu, Nathan Archer																		12	3	1	12	86
86 86	John Linich Shane Trotter											12	12							5 11	1	12 12	86 86
86	Sonia Brown	5	14				Α						-					12		7	1	12 12 11	86 91
92	Renée & Trevor Wells Kim Taylor		11 3					7												5 6	2	10	92
	Marg & Rob Cook Andrew Power								9									10		5 8	1 1	10 9	92 94
94	Carolyn Rigby Nick Maddock			9													9			7 8	1	9 9	94 94
94	Patrick & Sue McLachlan									9							5			3	1	9	94
98 98	Alan Garde Jen Fagan												8		8					3 11	1	8 8	98 98
	Steven Todkill Henry Anderberg				8		5	2												6 11	1 2	8 7	98 101
101	Shaun Martin Maria Orr	2			Δ.											6			7	3	1	7	101 103
104	Geoff Peel	3			A	Α										6 4				10	1	6 4	104
104	Peter Charlton Shay & Troy Gardiner				4				4											9 7	1 1	4 4	104 104
	Sophie Paton				2			3												2	1	3	107 108
104 107	Amy Chapman, Bec Habo						and the second se	And in case of the local division of the loc	and the second se					and the second s						<u>-</u>			1 .00
104 107 108 108	Amy Chapman, Bec Hahn Bridget Bennett				2 2															2	1	2	108
104 107 108 108 110 110										1					1					2 2 5 9	1 1 1	2 1 1	108 110 110 110

Colour Coding Explanation

20 The competitor attained maximum possible consistency points for the event.

The competitor entered the event but did not score any consistency points for the event.

17 The competitor entered the event and attained the consistency points displayed.

The competitor did not enter the event.

A The competitor was involved with administering the event, and did not enter that event.

This competition is about trying to seek out our most CONSISTENT competitor, and provides an alternative way of measuring every competitor's performance from event to event and across the whole season.

Measuring consistency in this context has at least the following aims:

- recognition for competitors that don't win events (i.e. more than 95% of us);
- recognition for regular attendees;
- recognition for consistent performance, irrespective of capability.

Here's a brief summary of the current rules:

1. "Consistency points" will be awarded to the most consistent competitors in each event (the most consistent performer will receive maximum points).

2. For each competitor, "consistency points" earned in each event will be aggregated over the season.

3. Competitors will then be ranked, with the highest season "consistency points" tally determining the most consistent orienteer for the series.

4. Allocation of "consistency points" in an event will be based on each eligible competitor's calculated "consistency score".

5. To be eligible for a "consistency score" in an event, a competitor must not have a result of 100 in the event, and must have also entered the previous event.

6. A competitor's "consistency score" for an event is the difference between their (adjusted) overall result for that event, and their (adjusted) overall result for the previous event. 7. Results are adjusted ("normalised") in an attempt to level the playing field and increase the validity of comparisons of results between events. There are two main reasons for

this, and a separate adjustment is made for each: a) Since your overall result for an event is derived via a comparison with the winner, an inconsistency is evident when trying to measure consistency, as the same competitor

a) Since your overall result for an event is derived via a comparison with the winner, an inconsistency is evident when trying to measure consistency, as the same competitor doesn't win every event.

b) Course setter style and characteristics of each map are inconsistent across events. This in part contributes to the differing patterns in the distribution of results from event to event.

8. In this competition, a competitor is an event entrant, which may be an individual or a team.

Note that your handicap is not used in calculating your "consistency score", and your "consistency score" doesn't affect your handicap.

As always, if you have any thoughts on this interpretation of our results, please feel free to email your feedback, or come and discuss it at an event.