

19-Feb-2009 02:26 AM

Newcastle Orienteering Club
2008/2009 Summer Street Series
CONSISTENCY Results

Are you consistently performing to your own capability? Earn up to 20 points per event. Still up to 0 points for the taking. See below ...

Rank	Competitor	Admin Event	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	Number of Events Entered	Number of Contributing Events	Total Points	Rank
1	Nicole Haigh		19	15	9	1	11				2			8	12	15	17		19	17	11	128	1
2	Kim van Netten		11	7			2	11		4	15	16	15	13				4	3	17	11	101	2
3	Stuart Kurtz				16		18	20	17	6						10			13	15	7	100	3
4	Russell Rigby	3			A		6	8						20	13	16	2	13	18	16	8	96	4
5	Nicola Blatchford		12				4	14	20	11		17	17							13	7	95	5
6	Geoff Peel	16	10		6	18		19			20				20			A		16	6	93	6
7	Andrew Haigh				19	8	16			15					11	18	3			17	7	90	7
8	Tony Hayes		3	3		10					20	7		4	10	14			10	17	9	81	8
9	Colin Bailey	1	A		15	9	12	9			18				1		11			15	7	75	9
10	Louise Hayes		4	18	11							14			19	8				16	6	74	10
11	Graeme Taplin			6	2	11							19	12				20		16	6	70	11
12	Mick Kavur		10			6	20	15				8			2	7				17	7	68	12
13	Josh Blatchford				18	13	10	4	10	1	4				7					16	8	67	13
14	Emily Harper	7		11		3			A	7		6	11		6	1		20		16	8	65	14
14	Ben Reuter	4				A	17	17		20	1			2					8	13	6	65	14
16	Alex Massey		1	13	10		1					19				2	18			17	7	64	16
17	Peter Berkholz			14						18	14					17				11	4	63	17
18	Andrew Power						7		8				7	15	15		5			14	6	57	18
19	Brett Golledge												19	17	8		12			11	4	56	19
20	Shane Jenkins						9		16	20			8							10	4	53	20
21	Glenn Burgess				18								10		4	19				14	4	51	21
21	Gerhard Deiter											20	17	14						5	3	51	21
23	Rhiana Roberts	17			20			1			10	14			5				A	15	5	50	23
23	Daniel Orr	15			12	19	14	5									A			13	4	50	23
23	David Kitchener	8						12		A					18			20		12	3	50	23
26	Neil Chappell				8		8		12	10									9	17	5	47	26
26	Richard Roxin							3				12	12					20		15	4	47	26
28	Brock Smith		16			2								3	17		8			15	5	46	28
29	Nigel Thompson	12										15		A		10	16	4		11	4	45	29
29	Nathan Berkholz									18	14							13		8	3	45	29
31	Margaret Peel	16	5		2	7			9			4	5			6		A	6	16	8	44	31
31	Karen Blatchford	13	7	8						15			1		A		9	4		16	6	44	31
31	Carolyn Matthews	9	17			5	16			2	A		4							14	5	44	31
31	Damian Welbourne									9	12	9			14					12	4	44	31
31	Tim Tew	4	18	20		A											6			12	3	44	31
36	Peter Orr	15											13	5		20	A		4	13	4	42	36
37	Caroline Taurany										8		14	18						14	3	40	37
38	David Messenger			12	4						3		9			11				15	5	39	38
38	Arthur Kingsland	6			3	13		A	3				20							16	4	39	38
38	Tamara Orr	15	14	17				2	6								A			10	4	39	38
41	Robert Preston					16											20			12	2	36	41
42	Carolyn Rigby	3		19	A				2	8	6									16	4	35	42
43	Russell Blatchford	13			13						17	1			A				3	10	4	34	43
44	Lewis Berkholz		15	9							9									14	3	33	44
44	Steven Todkill	2		A		15						18								11	2	33	44
46	Tony Dynon		13						18											12	2	31	46
47	John Linich													17				13		5	2	30	47
47	Sonia Brown																10	20		6	2	30	47
49	Stu Adams							13		5	11									15	3	29	49
50	Jason Roberts											10	3						15	7	3	28	50
50	Jim Lee	5					A		19	3			6							11	3	28	50
50	Thomas Bunn			2								6		20						14	3	28	50
50	Alexander Orr								15									13		10	2	28	50
54	Bob Gilbert	11	8	1								11	A	7						13	4	27	54
55	Matt Hayes										8					5		13		14	3	26	55
56	Mark Simons															12	13			5	2	25	56
57	Sam Howe				7			17												12	2	24	57
57	Scott Charlton															4		20		8	2	24	57
59	Peter Newton														9				14	10	2	23	59
60	Joanna Mimica						19								3					9	2	22	60
61	Caleb Taplin									12				9						9	2	21	61
61	Dom Isberg, Peter Holz								1								20			11	2	21	61
61	Maria Orr	15	20														A		1	11	2	21	61
61	Scott Taylor																	4	17	7	2	21	61
65	Greg Bacon	10				4					16	A								10	2	20	65
65	Sally-Anne Henderson																	13	7	6	2	20	65
65	Geoff Todkill					20														11	1	20	65
65	Ian Dempsey																		20	5	1	20	65
65	Rhys Taylor																	20		3	1	20	65
70	Malcolm Roberts	17				17	1								1				A	16	3	19	70
70	Lewis Vincent		6															13		10	2	19	70
70	Martyn Boyd								6							13				11	2	19	70
73	Matt J Brown							11	7											12	2	18	73
73	Kate Dynon									18										14	1	18	73
73	Narelle Dyer, Patricia Rios							18												3	1	18	73
76	Allan Wright	9					3				A						14			8	2	17	76
76	Glen Charlton			6															11	13	2	17	76
78	Andrew Brown			16																10	1	16	78
78	Barbara Rathbourne																		16	5	1	16	78
78	Leigh Hoy														16					11	1	16	78
81	Carl Thomson																15			4	1	15	81
81	Phoebe Vincent								15											8	1	15	81
83	Julia Preston, Liz Bunn				14															4	1	14	83
83	Peter Cox					14														11	1	14	83
85	Alison & Cosette Borserio						13													2	1	13	85
85	Ian OBrien								13											12	1	13	85
85	Keith Robertson																	13		10	1	13	85
85	Sheena Robertson																	13		7	1	13	85
85	Steven Roberts	14								13						A				9	1	13	85
90	Luke Robertson																		12	11	1	12	90
91	Josh Pelzer, Robert Pelzer								11						11					3	1	11	91
91	Josh Roberts	17																	A	9	1	11	91
93	Danielle Ovenden							6	4											7	2	10	93
93	Natalie McCall	9									A				10					3	1	10	93
93	Shane Trotter			10</																			

This is a new competition and scoring feature, and new way of measuring every competitor's performance from event to event and across the whole season. It is still in prototype form, so if your name is at the top of the list in any week, please don't anticipate winning a home theatre system or an all expenses paid overseas holiday. Any results displayed are subject to change for the moment, but this is a chance to take a peek and share any thoughts that you may have.

This new results category is all about trying to seek out our most CONSISTENT competitor.

Measuring consistency in this context has at least the following aims:

- recognition for competitors that don't win events (i.e. more than 95% of us);
- recognition for regular attendees;
- recognition for consistent performance, irrespective of capability.

Here's a brief summary of the current rules:

1. "Consistency points" will be awarded to the most consistent competitors in each event (the most consistent performer will receive maximum points).
2. For each competitor, "consistency points" earned in each event will be aggregated over the season.
3. Competitors will then be ranked, with the highest season "consistency points" tally determining the most consistent orienteer for the series.
4. Allocation of "consistency points" in an event will be based on each eligible competitor's calculated "consistency score".
5. To be eligible for a "consistency score" in an event, a competitor must not have a result of 100 in the event, and must have also entered the previous event.
6. A competitor's "consistency score" for an event is the difference between their (adjusted) overall result for that event, and their (adjusted) overall result for the previous event.
7. Results are adjusted ("normalised") in an attempt to level the playing field and increase the validity of comparisons of results between events. There are two main reasons for this, and a separate adjustment is made for each:
 - a) Since your overall result for an event is derived via a comparison with the winner, an inconsistency is evident when trying to measure consistency, as the same competitor doesn't win every event.
 - b) Course setter style and characteristics of each map are inconsistent across events. This in part contributes to the differing patterns in the distribution of results from event to event.
8. In this competition, a competitor is an event entrant, which may be an individual or a team.

Note that your handicap is not used in calculating your "consistency score", and your "consistency score" doesn't affect your handicap.

If you have any thoughts on this new interpretation of our results, please feel free to email me with your feedback, or come and discuss it at an event.

If you are mathematically inclined and have any views on how to improve the "consistency score" calculation algorithm to make it fairer across the board, then please don't hesitate ... I'm happy to further explain the details.

Thanks,
Peter